

THE DAYTONA GAZETTE-NEWS
PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY
T. E. FITZGERALD, Editor

THE GAZETTE-NEWS COMPANY
(INCORPORATED)

Entered at the Postoffice at Daytona, Florida, as Second Class Matter.

SATURDAY, AUGUST 22, 1908

SUBSCRIPTION RATES.

One copy one year \$1.50
One copy six months .75
One copy three months .40

ADVERTISING RATES.

DISPLAY ADVERTISEMENTS.
Rate per inch per insertion to regular advertisers. 10 cents
Rate per inch per insertion for less than three months. 15 cents
Rate per inch per insertion for single insertion. 30 cents

READING NOTICES OR LOCALS.

Rate per line, each insertion. 10 cents

RATES FOR CHURCHES, LODGES, ETC.

All club, society, organization, lodge and church notices, other than religious services 5 cents per line. Cards of thanks 50 cents each. Resolutions 5 cents per line. Legal advertising at legal rates.

GENERAL PROVISIONS.

No single charge for display advertising of any kind less than 50 cents. Good position given every advertisement. An extra charge of 2 cents per inch will be made for ads with specified positions. No special positions given on ads less than five inches.

No advertisements accepted for the first page. Extra charge made on display advertisements containing an average of more than 30 words to the inch. All bills payable on the first of each month.

DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL, STATE AND COUNTY TICKET.

For President, WILLIAM J. BRYAN, of Nebraska.
For Vice-President, JOHN W. KERN, of Indiana.

For United States Senator
DUNCAN U. FLETCHER.
For Member of Congress
FRANK CLARK.
For Governor
ALBERT W. GILCHRIST.
For Comptroller
A. C. CROOM.
For Treasurer
W. V. KNOTT.
For Secretary of State
H. CLAY CRAWFORD.
For Attorney General
PARK M. TRAMMELL.
For State Supt. of Public Instruction
WM. M. HOLLOWAY.
For Commissioner of Agriculture
B. E. MCILIN.
For State Chemist
R. E. ROSE.
For Adjutant General
J. C. R. FOSTER.
For Justices of the Supreme Court
WILLIAM A. HOCKER
THOMAS M. SHACKLEFORD.

For Members of the Legislature
MACON THORNTON.
JAMES E. ALEXANDER.
For County Judge
J. LEE MCCRODY.
For Clerk of the Circuit Court
SAMUEL D. JORDAN.
For Sheriff
EDWARD L. SMITH.
For Tax Assessor
S. B. WILSON.
For Tax Collector
D. P. SMITH.
For County Treasurer
J. J. VINZANT.
For Judge of the Criminal Court
JAMES W. PERKINS.
For Solicitor Criminal Court
BERT FISH.
For Superintendent Public Instruction
A. O. BUTTS.
For County Commissioner Dist. No. 4
W. D. BRADSHAW.
For Member Co. School Board, Dist. 3
GEO. F. CROUCH.
For Justice of the Peace, Dist. No. 8
HENRY T. TITUS.

Seabreeze and Daytona Beach.

MRS. H. A. BERNARD, Editor.

Seabreeze, Aug. 19—Harry Lungen, of DeLand, has purchased two lots on Atlantic avenue. The lots were sold by J. C. Butler.

Mrs. Herrick left Tuesday for a two weeks visit in Jacksonville.

Mrs. Allison left Tuesday for Nashville.

A very pretty affair was a supper given by Miss Helen Burman to a number of friends last week. The rooms were tastefully decorated with flowers, white and gold. Japanese lanterns lit the lawn. After supper the company enjoyed a trip on the river.

T. W. Shands and son arrived Saturday to spend a few days with his wife at the Harvey cottage on the beach.

Mr. Whitsitt is improving his property on the beach by laying cement walks and steps.

Mr. and Mrs. Waterhouse, of Maitland, arrived last Friday. They went to Blarney Castle on the beach.

A. V. Hough, of Espanola, has bought two lots on Palmetto street. They were sold by J. C. Butler.

Mrs. Carter and Mrs. Butler, who have been on the beach for two weeks, returned to their home Sunday.

Mr. Smith and children and Mr. and Mrs. Rice, who have been at the Pleasant View, have returned to their home.

The A. L. Miller cottage on Ocean Boulevard is being raised and enlarged during Mr. Miller's absence.

Daytona Beach, Aug. 10—J. H. Donnelly, of Housatonic, Mass., writes that he expects to visit Daytona Beach soon.

Quite a number went to Tomoka Wednesday on the excursion given for the benefit of the M. E. Church. The Maxine was chartered for the trip.

Rev. J. M. Cramer, pastor of the First Baptist Church, of Bradfordville, Pa., will preach Sunday morning in the M. E. Church.

Mrs. Hammond and Miss Pauling, of Hawthorne, are visitors at Mrs. C. E. Johnson's, on Seabreeze avenue.

Recent arrivals at the White House are as follows: Geo. W. Toph and wife, Miss Dorothy Toph, Henry Ramsey, J. M. Rivers, Mr. and Mrs. Chas. J. Anderson, Gilmore Anderson, Jacksonville; Leon B. Hard, Mr. and Mrs. J. S. C. Carpenter and two daughters, Leone and Hart, DeLand.

Mrs. W. B. Moseley and children arrived from Gainesville Thursday, and have rooms at Ocean Spray.

Keep cool at the Fountain—Van Valsah Building.

Rev. Adyan Smith and wife drove to DeLand Monday, where they spent the day, returning Tuesday.

Mrs. Ender and daughter, Miss Linnie, are here from Tampa for a few weeks.

Eugene Johnson made a business trip to Cocoa Monday.

Miss Morris, of Gainesville, is the guest of Mrs. Julia Kingston at the Seaside Inn.

SOUTH-EASTERN UNDERWRITER'S ASSOCIATION CONDEMNS THE CITY WATERWORKS PLAN.

SOME PROMINENT BUSINESS MEN WHO OPPOSE THE SCHEME.

Some of the advocates of municipal ownership say that no one opposes the proposition except "agents and attorneys for private parties." To show that their talk sounds like a German band playing in front of a shoe shop for an onion, the following views of a few reputable business men gathered at random along Beach street are published:

CUL. C. M. BINGHAM, President City Council—Under other conditions I would favor the bond election. I have always talked and advocated waterworks and think a system is a necessity. Nevertheless I am not in favor of municipal ownership as long as Mr. Schantz stands ready to install a plant, which I believe to be a much better and cheaper proposition than municipal ownership.

L. G. LYMAN, Grocer—While I am not yet a voter in Daytona yet I am a taxpayer. I think the City will make a grave mistake if it fastens the proposed bond issue upon itself.

S. W. CARROLL, Grocer—If we were situated like DeLand, Palatka, St. Augustine or Gainesville, I would favor a municipal system of waterworks, but I do not believe there will be any great demand for commercial water here, and I shall vote against the bond issue. The arguments against the proposition are numerous.

A. McF. PORTER, City Clerk—I am decidedly opposed to municipal ownership of waterworks.

HENRY SCHMIDT, Alderman—I shall cast my vote against the municipal bond issue for waterworks. I think it is a plain business proposition that private parties can supply service at greater advantage and less cost to the people than a municipal plant could.

DR. F. H. HOUGHTON—I am and always have been opposed to this municipal ownership scheme of waterworks in Daytona. I think I know a business proposition when I see one and have seen so many municipal failures that I would hate to see a burden of this kind fastened on our fair city.

LEW. SMITH, Manager Daytona Ice Co.—I am not in favor of municipal ownership, and believe the city will make a mistake if it undertakes to install a water system.

M. B. CHURCH, Druggist—I am opposed to municipal ownership and believe it would be far wiser to allow private parties to install a system of water works in Daytona. I think it will cost the city far more to operate a plant than the advocates of municipal ownership claim.

GEO. F. SMITH, Alderman—I am opposed to municipal ownership of waterworks and do not believe the city is in position to install a system to advantage. Many people in Daytona do not stop to consider that conditions in Daytona are vastly different from other towns.

J. L. WALLACE, Merchant—From a close study of the proposition I am certainly opposed to municipal ownership where the conditions are as they exist in Daytona.

L. H. ROWE, Jeweler—I am not an "attorney or agent" of Mr. Schantz but I shall certainly cast my vote in opposition to the city placing a yoke around her neck next Monday. In my mind the figures that have been given by the advocates of municipal ownership are entirely inadequate to install and operate such a system as is proposed.

F. M. ROWE, Jeweler—You ask why I am opposed to the proposed bond issue for municipal waterworks and in reply will say: First, I consider the amount for installing and for operating expenses entirely inadequate. Second, we shall need at once a system of sewerage that the city will really have to put in and the sooner the better. While I am strictly in favor of waterworks and that at once, it seems that the burden of both will be too much for us to undertake at this time. Am sorry that there should be personal feelings in regard to a matter like this, and most assuredly I am in the employ of any firm or combination they have as yet failed to mail me my salary. I certainly have no axes to grind and if the bond issue carries no one will find me kicking as to the result.

Notice to Creditors.
In Court of the County Judge, State of Florida, Volusia County.

In re Estate of Henry H. Thorp.
To all Creditors, Legatees, Distributees and all persons having claims or demands against said Estate.
You and each of you are hereby notified and requested to present any claims and demands which you or either of you may have against the estate of Henry H. Thorp, Deceased, late of Volusia County, Florida, to the undersigned administrator of said estate, within two years from the date hereof or to be forever barred.

Dated this August 22, 1908.
KATHRYN THORP, Administrator.

Over-zealous Advocates of Municipal Ownership Attempt to Pull Wool Over Voter's Eyes by Saying Plans Were Approved.

PLAN PROPOSED WILL NOT REDUCE INSURANCE.

It may be interesting to the taxpayers of Daytona to learn that the proposed system of waterworks, for which an issue of bonds is proposed and on which an election is to be held on Monday, has not been approved by the South-Eastern Underwriters Association, notwithstanding the statements of engineers who are so zealously advocating the system, to the contrary.

The City Council was led to believe that the system had been approved and it has been asserted in the papers that such is the case, but we wish to call the attention of the voters to the following letter, which is in answer to an inquiry accompanying the plat of the proposed system as distributed on the streets.

Atlanta, Ga., Aug. 17, 1908.
Mr. F. N. Conrad, Agent,
Daytona, Fla.

Dear Sir:—After an absence of several weeks from the office I have returned to find your communication of the 11th inst., also a copy of the proposed plan of waterworks for the City of Daytona, which is to be voted upon on the 24th inst.

You request to be advised whether this system has been submitted to me for approval and in reply I would state that on April 22nd last, we received a communication from D. D. and C. M. M. Rogers, Civil Engineers, also blue print outlining their proposed system and to which I replied on the same date, making certain suggestions.

I find that these suggestions have not been taken into consideration in the following details:

No arrangement has been made to provide a suction pipe from pumping station to river. I also recommended that no 4-inch pipe be used in the system, especially through Palmetto avenue, as shown on their plan. In our opinion, nothing less than 6-inch mains should be used in any portion of the system except possibly in some minor portions of the town where 4-inch circulating system might be used. There are also several 4-inch dead ends shown in the system, which are considered very serious defects. We consider that a 6-inch dead end of any length is bad enough, but do not think that a 4-inch end should be tolerated.

I note that the water supply is to be derived from three artesian wells which are to deliver the water to the pumps; said wells delivering water to concrete arcing basin or sumps, the number of sumps being two, with a capacity of 35,000 gallons each. Unless the artesian wells have a flowing capacity to satisfy the total pumping capacity of the pumping station, we should not feel warranted in accepting two 35,000 gallon reservoirs as a stable and supply of water for pumping purposes.

The engineers were already warned about spacing the hydrants exceeding 200 to 250 feet in the business portions and twice that distance in the residential sections. We do not consider that hydrants in residential sections should exceed more than 400 to 500 feet apart according to the congestion of the buildings forming the locality.

As to the gravity tank of only 75,000 gallons capacity, that was the writer's own suggestion, as I would much prefer a 75,000 gallon gravity tank well elevated on a 100 foot tower than a standpipe of twice that capacity sitting on the ground with no natural advantage in elevation.

I trust that this will serve to give you the information that is desired and beg to remain, Yours Very Truly,

F. E. MacKNIGHT,
Chief Inspector and Engineer South-Eastern Underwriters Association.

This letter shows that the advocates of Municipal Ownership are attempting to fast upon the taxpayers of this city a system of waterworks which would not reduce insurance rates one cent because it would never be accepted by the Underwriters Association.

What is the sense of paying for fire protection if there is no return in the way of lower insurance?

The cheap proposed plant—neglected cheaply for the sake of watching votes—would never be approved and a good plant can not be built for less than fifty per cent more.

Note the objections raised by the Underwriters Association. They (Continued on third page.)

MAYOR SEAMAN TALKS BACK TO E. M. CONDIT.

Editor Gazette-News:—I have read the two articles on City Waterworks by E. M. Condit. "S" and "Citizen" have excited him as the red cloak engages the bull. He has found "S" and "Citizen" to be two men of straw and proceeds to give them deadly battle. He has wiped them from the face of the earth. He is as valiant a fighter as was old Jack Falstaff. He has found his "nine men in buckram," to-wit: "S" and "Citizen," and smote them hip and thigh.

Now Condit is a good fellow. Arguments flow from his pen like water from a chain pump. He is fond of rushing into print. He delights in seeing his name in "buckram," to-wit: "S" and "Citizen," and smote them hip and thigh.

Condit has twice charged "S" and "Citizen" with being the paid agents of Mr. Schantz, and that they have been talking only "hot air" for the graft there may be in it. Now, Mr. Editor, I do not know who "Citizen" may be, but I am the "S" he has so ruthlessly slain and I beg the privilege of turning over in my grave, disturbing the flowers of rhetoric he has strewn upon it, and faintly whispering that while Brother Condit knows all about it, is never wrong, and always talks in good faith, he ought to be willing to admit that "S" or even "Citizen" may by accident be honest in what they say.

Oh, Condit is all right, but his assurance is unequalled, his nerve spectacular, his gall monumental. "S" as stated in his article, is in no way whatever interested in Mr. Schantz. "S" has done quite as much for Daytona as Condit has. "S" pays more local taxes than Condit. "S" probably has the best interests of the city quite as much at heart as Condit. Condit is not a voter in the city—is not even registered. He does not pay a dollar of personal taxes to the city. His contributions to the public funds appear to be mostly articles signed "E. M. Condit."

The teachings of the religion Condit actively professes bid him exercise a little charity to those who may differ from him in opinion.

His arguments on the water question may be correct. I hope they are. I believe that the article signed "S" make a showing that later experience of the city will demonstrate to be true, if municipal ownership carries.

After the Condit's first deadly shot at "S" I decided not to make reply, which the Condit invited, but as the Condit feared that "S" might not be entirely dead—only badly wounded—the Condit returned to the combat in the last issue of the "Journal" and got his gun off once more to shoot up "S" in some spot where he missed him before. "S" thinks the Condit warfare may be a successful performance and tend some attention. Why don't Condit let his dead rest in peace and be follow the advice of the old Roman, "Nil Nisi Bonum de mortuis est?"

"S" is not Mr. Schantz, nor a hireling of Mr. Schantz. He did not sign his article "S" as a cloak or blind to hide behind, but solely for the reason that he did not desire to make an apparent use of official position to influence any one to vote against the bond issue. He had a right to make a plain statement of what he then believed and still believes to be the facts. He made no attempt to attribute sinister motives to Mr. Condit, who had always been free with his advice on these matters.

"S" is perfectly willing the voters know who he is. Condit has smoked him out and "S" respectfully asks the voters to read his article again. It will bear republication, and I hope this paper will republish it. I might be led by fair argument to vote for the ordinance, but personal vituperation is neither a fair nor convincing argument.

In his article of Aug. 19th, Condit directly charges "S" with prospective graft, sets himself up as a "waster" adviser of the people because he openly signs his articles "E. M. Condit," he therein describes himself as a "man of wide observation and business experience with nothing on earth to gain." It would be better if he were a man of close rather than wide observation. Let me ask in his own words, "Why this robust effort on his part to discredit his neighbor? Can anything be more absurd than Mr. Condit's assertion there must be added to the \$5,000,000 to be paid annually to private ownership for water fire purposes, interest on the same to the amount of \$115,250 in thirty years?" By the same reasoning: Mr. Condit was married fifty years ago. He then bought a wedding suit at a cost of \$100. He is still reckoning interest at 10 per cent on that purchase. It has thus become an expensive suit of clothes and because it is a case of private ownership, he has charged it all up to the account of communal felicity. His whole article in fact both articles, are of like reasoning.

"S" might stand for any one of a long list of respectable names but in this instance it stands for your humble servant.

G. B. SEAMAN.

ANTHONY'S

Shoe and Clothing

SALE

Will Save You Money.

ANTHONY BROTHERS, Inc.

M. ELLA DE VOY CO. LADIES' HATTERS

Correct in Styles. OPEN ALL THE YEAR.
No. 6 South Beach Street, Daytona.

Fire Protection Should Be Considered.

The recent fires in Daytona bring the matter home to you. Are you fully protected against loss by fire? We represent the best and strongest companies.

CONRAD REAL ESTATE CO.

MERCHANTS BANK
(BRANCH OF VOLUSIA COUNTY BANK)
Capital Stock \$50,000
Surplus and Profits 55,165
Four per cent interest paid on Savings accounts and Time Certificates of Deposit. No notice required for withdrawals.
Safe Deposit Boxes For Rent.

We now have now on display the finest assortment of

RUGS

All Grades
All Sizes
ever shown in Daytona.

Bingham & Maley Co.

FURNITURE UNDERTAKING

Phillip E. Robinson, Architect
National Bldg. Savannah, Ga.
Winter Homes in Florida
Bungalows, Cottages, Tropical Houses, Tropical Gardens.
Poston, Savannah, Tampa.

Notice.
Notice is hereby given that the following petition will be presented to the Board of Public Instruction of Volusia County, Florida, at their regular monthly meeting on Sept. 7, 1908.

PETITION
Kingston, Florida.
To The Honorable Board of Public Instruction of Volusia County:
Gentlemen—We, the patrons of Kingston School No. 49, and the taxpayers of the district do respectfully petition your honorable body to call an election for the purpose of organizing and establishing Kingston Special Tax School District, No. 49, said district to have the following boundaries:

Beginning with the point of intersection of the Halifax River Channel and the north corporate line of Daytona and running west along this line to its western terminus then north to the intersection of the north line of the G. W. Kingston tract then east along this line and Mason Avenue to the channel of the Halifax River, then in a southerly direction along this channel to the point of starting.

Very respectfully,
Geo. W. Hull, W. D. Bradshaw,
Horace Hull, B. T. McClellan,
Fred Kingston, A. F. Chandler,
J. L. Hamilton, B. B. Posten,
W. F. Knight, E. W. Livingston,
David Karmick, C. H. Lee,
J. F. Farris, M. Lastinger,
G. W. Bush, O. M. Brown,
W. H. Parker, F. W. Livingston,
L. G. Lyman, J. R. Cole,
Edw. Archibald, Chas. L. Beers,
C. D. Smiley, W. Melton.

SUBSCRIBE FOR THE
FLORIDA TIMES-UNION
The Great State Paper
DAILY AND SUNDAY. ONE MONTH \$1.00
THREE MONTHS \$2.50
SIX MONTHS \$4.50
THE Semi-Weekly Times-Union and The Farmer and Fruit Grower.
The Friday issue of the Semi-Weekly is devoted to Agriculture and other fruit and stock care. The two papers cost \$1.50 per year.
MAIL US YOUR SUBSCRIPTION.
Florida Times-Union, Jacksonville, Fla.